I. Listing of Claims:

Please amend the Claims as follows:

1. (Previously Presented) A vehicle safety arrangement for a vehicle, the

arrangement comprising:

a sensor unit comprising at least one sensor responsive to acceleration, the

sensor unit being located substantially along a central longitudinal line of the vehicle,

the sensor unit having a signal processor for sampling data gathered by the one or

more sensors;

at least one actuator for activating a safety device, the actuator being located

remotely from the sensor unit; and

a control unit located remotely from the sensor unit and from the actuator and

away from the central longitudinal line, the control unit being operable to receive

information from the sensor unit and to transmit an actuation command to the actuator

to activate the safety device, wherein the control unit comprises no sensor responsive to

acceleration.

2. (Original) A safety arrangement according to Claim 1, wherein the sensor unit is

located on a central tunnel of the vehicle.

3. (Previously Presented) A safety device according to Claim 1, wherein the

sensor unit comprises at least two sensors responsive to acceleration, which are

configured to measure at least longitudinal and lateral acceleration of the vehicle.

- 2 -

Response to Non-Final Office Action dated May 22, 2009

Case No. 12400-037

4. (Previously Presented) A safety arrangement according to Claim 1, wherein the

at least one sensor responsive to acceleration is configured to measure vertical

acceleration of the vehicle.

5. (Previously Presented) A safety arrangement according to Claim 1, wherein the

signal processor is operable to transmit the sampled data to the control unit.

6. (Previously Presented) A safety arrangement according to Claim 1, wherein the

signal processor is operable to perform a crash algorithm, which causes the signal

processor to instruct the control unit to transmit an actuating command to the actuator.

7. (Previously Presented) A safety arrangement according to Claim 1, wherein the

signal processor is operable to receive the output of a decision algorithm, which

determines whether the vehicle is in a crash situation.

8. (Previously Presented) A safety arrangement according to Claim 7, wherein the

decision algorithm is performed by the control unit.

9. (Previously Presented) A safety arrangement according to Claim 1, wherein the

signal processor is operable to receive data from additional remote sensors.

10. (Previously Presented) A safety arrangement according to Claim 1, wherein the

sensor unit comprises one or more sensors operable to measure an angular velocity of

the vehicle around a longitudinal axis thereof.

- 3 -

Response to Non-Final Office Action dated May 22, 2009

11. (Previously Presented) A safety arrangement according to Claim 1, wherein the

Case No. 12400-037

actuator comprises an ignitor for igniting a charge to activate the safety device.

12. (Previously Presented) A safety arrangement according to Claim 1, wherein the

control unit comprises one or more capacitors configured to store sufficient energy to

cause the actuator to activate the safety device.

13. (Original) A safety arrangement according to Claim 12, wherein the discharge of

the one or more capacitors comprises the actuation command.

14. (Previously Presented) A safety arrangement according to Claim 1, wherein at

least one actuator is located in a unit that also comprises a capacitor configured to store

energy to activate the safety device, the capacitor being discharged to activate the

safety device in response to the actuation command.

15. (Previously Presented) A safety arrangement according to Claim 1, further

comprising at least one left side sensor on a left side of the vehicle and at least one

right side sensor on a right side of the vehicle.

16. (Previously Presented) A safety arrangement according to Claim 1, wherein the

control unit is connected to a main battery of the vehicle, and supplies power to the

sensor unit and to the actuator.

- 4 -

App. No. 10/531.860

Response to Non-Final Office Action dated May 22, 2009

17. (Previously Presented) A safety arrangement according to Claim 1, wherein the

Case No. 12400-037

sensor unit has a smaller volume than that of the control unit.

18. (Previously Presented) A safety arrangement according to Claim 1, wherein the

sensor unit has a volume less than half that of the control unit.

19. (Previously Presented) A safety arrangement according to Claim 1, wherein the

sensor unit has a smaller mass than that of the control unit.

20. (Original) A safety arrangement according to Claim 19, wherein the mass of the

sensor unit is less than half that of the control unit.

21. (Previously Presented) A safety arrangement according to Claim 1, wherein the

sensor unit is provided on a single microchip.

22. (Cancelled)

23. (Cancelled)

- 5 -